

Government of India
Ministry of Home Affairs
(PM Division)
Jaisalmer House, 26 Man Singh Road,
New Delhi-110011
Telefax: 011-23384961
E-mail: ajit.kumar06@gov.in

No.25019/08/2021-PM-II


Dated th29 June, 2021

CORRIGENDUM NO.1
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Subject: - Request for Proposal (RFP) for Evaluation of police Stations to select and rank best Police Stations in the country- RFP floated on CPP Portal on 18.06.2021

Request for Proposal for selection of firms for evaluation of police stations to select and rank best Police stations was floated on 18.06.2021 at CPP Portal. A Pre Bid Meeting was held on 25.06.2021 to address the queries/points raised by the prospective bidders. After discussion in the Pre Bid Meeting, the reply of queries received in response to RFP before Pre Bid Meeting is annexed.

Encl: Annexure.


(AJIT KUMAR)
DIRECTOR (PM-II), MHA

Copy to: - The Section Officer (IT), IT Cell, MHA, North Block, New Delhi-110001 with the request to see the attachment on your official e-mail and to upload the same on the official Website on Ministry of Home Affairs under Home Page --- Head --- "What's New" and "Tenders".

Pre Bid Queries received from Firms in response to RFP floated on 18.06.2021 for Evaluation of police stations to select and rank best Police Stations in the country for the year 2021
[Pre Bid Meeting scheduled on 25.06.2021 at 03.00 PM]

Sl. No.	Name of Firm	Queries received	Remarks
1.	Centre for Good Governance (CGG)	Section 17 - Eligibility for participating in bidding procedure, in sub-section (i), it is mentioned that the consultant Should be a Company, JV, LLP. Request you to clarify on participation of legally registered Government Societies.	Para 2.1 of Chapter-2 of Manual for Procurement of Consultancy & Other Services, 2017 states about types of consultant/service providers. Government societies can bid subject to fulfilling all qualifications. (Page 18 of RFP)
		The RFP Mandates physical visit to each of the shortlisted Police Station but in the financial Form there is no component of travel and visit related expenses. Shall we add additional head under the format provided for miscellaneous expenses.	The summary of costs has to be reflected in Form 12(a,b,c). The total cost will be considered as Financial bid for evaluation. (Page 37 of RFP)
		Need clarification on the weightage (scoring pattern) in 13.1.c. in Page 21 of the RFP document. (<i>upto 6 years -2, 7-9 years – 3 and 10 & above – 4</i>). Does it mean if an institution has done projects which is more than ten years back, it will get 4 points?	Para 13.1 of Part-II of Section 2 states that the firms having experience of working in projects related to assessment of crimes, policing in India or similar projects of assessment and evaluation on ranking of institutions for States/ national level/ with minimum five projects will get 2 points up to 6 years, 3 points up to 7 to 9 years and 4 points for 10 and above years. Tender conditions will persist. (Page 21 of RFP)
		RFP is silent on payment terms, request you to clarify on terms pertaining to release of payments.	Para 6 of Part II of Section 6 stipulates payments to the Consultant. Para 6.4 states that billing and payment in respect of services shall be made after the completion of activity. Tender conditions will persist (Page-77 of RFP)
2.	Transrural Agri Consulting Service Ptc. Ltd. (TRUAGRICO)	Part-II, Clause 13.1a of Section 2 - We have done around 22 evaluation studies (of schemes/projects) mostly for Ministries, Board, PSU and institution of Government of India in almost all parts of country including North-eastern states. However, we have not done such work for multilateral agencies. As per the	Part-II, Para 13.1a of Section 2 states about the procedure for evaluation of technical qualifications, criteria, sub-criteria and point system and accordingly classification of weightage has been derived. Tender conditions will persist. (Page 21 of RFP)

		<p>existing criteria, we will be losing points. We request you to let us derive upto 8 marks (2 marks per project) from the works we have done for Central/State Government and PSUs. The remaining 2 marks can be derived from experience of working in NER.</p> <p>Part-II, Clause 13.1c of Section 2 - As per tender document MSMEs and Start-ups are exempted from qualifying on years of existence criteria, and hence this sub-clause is detrimental to their interest. A new firm will not have that much year of experience. We request you to either delete this sub-clause or give MSMEs/Start-ups full marks to provide them with a level playing field.</p> <p>Part-II, Clause 13.4 of Section 2 - Here, it is indicated that “number of relevant projects done (minimum 10)” We request you to kindly consider impact assessment/Evaluation Studies of schemes/projects of central government as ‘relevant project’.</p>	
			<p>MSME/Startups have been exempted from as per clause 17 of RFP but they have to fulfil the criteria of technical qualification. So there is clearly a level playing field.</p> <p>(Page 18 of RFP)</p>
			<p>Tender conditions will persist as per RFP.</p> <p>(Page 21 of RFP)</p>
3.	Adjukines Applied Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Section 17 (ii) – Is the turnover of each financial year to be greater than or equal to Rs. 50 Crores or the total of last three years should be greater than or equal to Rs. 50 Crores?	<p>Papa-17(ii) Section 2 of states that total Annual turnover of the firm for the last three financial years should be greater than or equal to Rs. 50 crores. It is further clarified that it means of each financial year.</p> <p>(Page 18 of RFP)</p>
4.	ADG Online Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	No specific queries. It has been stated that they need to attend pre bid meeting so requested to share the link so that they can discuss few points.	NIL
5.	Development & Research Services Pvt. Ltd.	No specific queries. It has been stated that they need to attend pre bid meeting so requested to share the link.	NIL
6.	Deloitte	No specific queries. It has been stated that they need to attend pre bid meeting so requested to share the link.	NIL